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They called the earth a star
as being itself too
an instrument of time.1

The subject of Pythagoreanism is so controversial and loaded with uncertainties2 that what follows should be considered as speculation and suggestion for future research. Also, recalling the excellent advice of Galileo in his “Letter to the Grand Duchess Christina”3 regarding committing the Scriptures on matters of science, let me say that any interpretation of the Scriptures attempted here is likewise to be regarded as speculation and suggestion. However, there are some interesting comparisons which appear to be worth noting, and which, although some of them have been noticed before, have not been commented upon in print as far as I know.

By the Pythagorean astronomy4 I refer to the system ascribed to Philolaus, apparently dated at about the end of the fifth century B.C. In this system the earth is a sphere revolving not around the sun, but around a central fire, which is variously termed the “Watch Tower of Zeus,” the “Throne of Zeus,” the “House of Zeus,” wherein is located the “governing principle” and the “creative force” which gives life and warmth to the earth. The earth revolves around the central fire once a day, and also rotates on its axis once a day, thus keeping the same face directed toward the fire all the time. “Below” the earth is another planet, the counter-earth, also revolving around the central fire. Above the earth, also revolving around the central fire, are the moon, the sun, and the five planets, in that order outward from the orbit of the earth. Outside of them is the sphere of the fixed stars, and outside of that another fire surrounding the whole system. (We shall assume that, as is ascribed to the later Greek astronomy, the planets are ordered so that the slower moving ones are farther out than the faster moving ones.5) The sun does not shine from its own light, but transmits to the earth what it receives from the central fire, or perhaps from the outer fire. One source claims that some Pythagoreans also believed that the moon was inhabited by a superior race of plants and animals.6

Pythagoras himself, born early in the sixth century B.C., supposedly traveled to Babylonia and Egypt. Establishing himself in Southern Italy, he established his own order, the Pythagorean Brotherhood, with its own initiations and mysteries. There is a tradition of secrecy of doctrine among the Pythagoreans that even influenced Copernicus about two millenia later.7

Abraham presumably antedates Pythagoras by 1,500 years or so. According to the Book of Abraham,8 Abraham knew Mesopotamia and Egypt and was interested, or at least informed, in astronomy; in fact, Facsimile No. 3 has “Abraham in Egypt” “reasoning upon the principles of Astronomy, in the king’s court.” (We
are reminded of Santillana's characterization of astronomy as the "Royal Art," or the "Royal Science," in ancient times. The astronomy of the Book of Abraham is much concerned with time reckoning, "times and seasons," a matter of concern to ancient astronomy. To compare the Book of Abraham with the system of Philolaus, we note from the Book of Abraham Chapter 3 (and Facsimile No. 2) the following: The earth moves (e.g., verse 5). There is a great star, Kolob, "nearest unto the throne of God," which is set "to govern all those which belong to the same order as that upon which thou standest" (verses 2, 3, 9). Moreover, at least according to the Egyptians, the sun borrows its light from Kolob, through the medium of a "governing power" which governs, among others, "the Moon, the Earth and the Sun in their annual revolutions." (See the explanation to Facsimile No. 2, Fig. 5.) Similarities to the system of Philolaus are evident. Verse 5 indicates that the moon, "the lesser light" (see Moses 2:16), moves "in order more slow" than the earth. We are informed that "this is in order because it standeth above the earth upon which thou standest, . . ." We are reminded that in Greek astronomy the slower planets are above the faster ones.

Of course, I am not suggesting that the system of Philolaus is the Lord's astronomy, or that Philolaus is right. There are differences between Philolaus and Abraham. For example, the Book of Abraham does not follow its comments on the moon and the earth with similar comments about the sun; i.e., that the sun should move slower than the moon because it is above the moon. We are only told that if "the moon be above the earth, then it may be that a planet or star may exist above it" (verse 17, my italics). We are assured, however, that there are other planets whose reckoning of time is greater than that of the moon (verses 7, 8.) In Greek astronomy the sun was above the moon, and it moved more slowly. In modern astronomy, the sun moves with the solar system around the center of the galaxy, and presumably with the galaxy through "space"; and it also rotates on its axis. The period of rotation at the surface is different for different solar latitudes; it is less than that of the moon at the solar equator, but becomes greater than that of the moon in regions sufficiently close to the solar poles. We note that the Book of Abraham makes no specific comment on the motion of the sun, except the comment about its annual revolution, which may be merely an opinion of the Egyptians (see the explanation of Facsimile No. 2, Fig. 5).

To some extent the controversy about the Pythagoreans does not affect our discussion here—the similarities exist regardless of who was responsible for the various parts of the system of Philolaus and when they first appeared. They suggest to me the following queries:

1. How much information regarding these matters was unavailable to Joseph Smith, or available only with difficulty? Since our sources are ancient authors, (e.g., Aristotle), they were presumably not absolutely unavailable, but it would not appear to be exactly trivial to use them correctly.

2. Can evidence be found of a public or secret astronomical tradition from Abraham's day, passing perhaps through Egypt or Babylon, which could have reached the Pythagoreans, perhaps in corrupted form? (Of course further corruption or misunderstanding could easily have occurred from the Pythagoreans to us.)

3. What astronomical knowledge and belief might Abraham have had already.
when further knowledge was given to him by revelation? This information might increase our understanding of the framework and terminology in which the new information was given.

Notes


5D. R. Dicks, op. cit., p. 66. Note that we are ignoring problems raised by claims that the Pythagoreans believed that the outer planets moved faster than the inner ones. (See Morris R. Cohen and I. E. Drabkin, op. cit., p. 96.)

6D. R. Dicks, op. cit., p. 74. See also Walter Burkert, op. cit., p. 346, noting Heraclides' claim that the Pythagoreans believed that "the stars are a kind of earth," as Burkert puts it. Note also Moses 1:33-35.


10See, for example, Giorgio de Santillana, op. cit., Prologue; Morris R. Cohen and I. E. Drabkin, op. cit., pp. 90-142; Giorgio de Santillana and Hertha von Dechend, op. cit., in general.

11One should note the "Throne of God" figures in Fawn M. Brodie's attempt to relate the Book of Abraham to the writings of Thomas Dick. For a discussion of this controversy and references, see Edward T. Jones, "The Theology of Thomas Dick and its Possible Relationship to that of Joseph Smith," MA thesis, College of Religious Instruction, Brigham Young University, 1969.

12The current theory is that the source of solar energy is nuclear fusion within the sun. It appears to me that the existence of this scriptural passage taken by itself does not require rejection of the current theory. However, we should note that there are relevant matters concerning the sun which are not understood, as evidenced by the current neutrino problem. See, for example, Virginia Trimble and Frederick Reines, "The Solar Neutrino Problem—A Progress (?) Report," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 45 (January, 1973), 1-5.

13One is tempted to identify this annual revolution with the annual (or nearly annual) revolution of the sun around the central fire in the system of Philolaus—or perhaps even with the much longer revolution of the sun around the center of the galaxy in the modern system. It appears possible that the Book of Abraham uses the term revolution in two senses—the revolution of one object around another, and the rotation of an object about its own axis. Glancing at verse 5, one is tempted also to compare the moon's days, months, and years with...