religious conviction. The particulars may not have seemed as important as
the ultimate truth of the work.
To raise doubts about the validity of some of Brodie's arguments is not to
dismiss her book. Her biography will continue to have great influence upon
professional historians until someone writes one with equal or greater plausi-
ability. With the benefit of new sources and better insight into the intellectual
and cultural background of early Mormonism, this may be possible. It is not
equipped to write reviews or articles for learned journals, for these are read by
few, nor to publish volumes of new sources for these provide no substitute.
Those who attempt a biography must write with courage, for no matter what
they say many will disagree strongly. And they must write with insight and
power, for one of Brodie's strengths is that her book is exciting reading. Above
all, in the face of contradictory sources and world views, they must strive to
tell the truth. It may do well to recall John Garraty's warning that "the
average man is so contradictory and complicated that by selecting evidence
carefully, a biographer can 'prove' that his subject is almost anything." To
write the truth about a man who was so many sided, so controversial as
Joseph Smith is a very difficult thing. Nonetheless, with an attitude less cynical
than Fawn Brodie's, it is time for some of us to try.

Women: One Man's Opinion

Claudia L. Bushman

*Woman and the Priesthood.* By Rodney Turner, Salt Lake City: Deseret Book Company,
1972. 311 pp. $4.95.

Rodney Turner, a BYU professor of Church history and doctrine and a scholar
widely revered as the conservatives' conservative, here attempts to answer
some of the burning contemporary questions about which the scriptures are so
scrupulously silent. Turner assembles and collates teachings of Church leaders
and scriptures about women and then reasons from them to his own conclu-
sions. He does this with passion and eloquence. Yes, Turner takes a hard line
and a lofty position. No, his views are not binding because finally he has no
more scriptural authority than the rest of us.

Of course he contends that woman, the "gentler and purer sex," finds her
highest fulfillment as wife and mother, that happiness can be found only by
becoming what she was meant to be. "To weaken or repudiate the profoundly
distinctive qualities of either sex is to pervert the original natures of both.
This is death." (p. 19) At this point Turner should come to grips with the real
nature of woman and how he knows what it is. Everything depends upon the
establishment of real differences between men and women. But we are given
only a few spiritual-anthropological generalities and a couple of Brigham
Young's quotes to the effect that women are more easily converted than men
and that their presence in saloons is more sinful than men's.

In fact Turner goes on to conjecture that as God is not coercive, individuals
must have chosen their own sexes. This neatly avoids the notion that women
were second rate spirits, but does indicate that personality preceded sexuality. If sex was voluntarily acquired at any point in pre-mortal life, then it should not completely shape mortal existence. Women should be people first. Turner believes they should be women first but fails to anchor his belief in solid evidence.

In the garden, Turner tells us, Adam and Eve were literally equal, with equal access to God. He describes a triangle with God at the apex. After the fall when Eve was undone, the authority relationship became vertically linear: God commanded Adam who commanded Eve. So while women remain equal, they must be given to men (hopefully with their consent) to be led and protected. Woman still enjoys this “obligation to submit to the leadership of fallen man” (p. 58). (One might say that while man is punished for his own sins, woman continues to be punished for Eve’s.) Turner tells us that meek obedience to one’s husband is only enlightened self-interest, that a woman has no more reason to resist her husband’s commandments than those of loving parents. What is more this submission will be the means of woman’s very exaltation. He heaps special praise on women who willingly sustain less gifted and knowledgeable husbands. A wife may be better educated, more gifted and wiser than her husband. This is often a trial to her, but it is for her to manifest a spirit of meekness and to honor him in his station. In doing so, she leaves him without excuse should he then fail to magnify his calling, (p. 99)

Turner’s idealization of the childlike dependent is unfortunate indeed. Why must we be sold the standard nineteenth century view of passive woman when early Utah was full of independent and achieving women who disproved it? Surely a woman’s abilities should be utilized for the benefit of the family and society. A priesthood holder is obliged to encourage his wife’s skills and to listen to her advice; it is his duty. The husband and wife should strive together.

Mormon women, taught to be dependent on husbands or fathers, are victims too often. As Turner says himself, the dependent Mormon woman, left alone, is extremely vulnerable. Our culture unfortunately tends to make heroines of these bereft women. The widowed mother of many who educates her children by scrubbing floors should not be eulogized but taught a skill. A woman must be prepared to support her children if necessary.

As for children, Turner tells us that mother must always be home and available. A woman must be taught that “nothing (she) may do outside of the home can begin to equal in lasting significance the things (she) can accomplish in the home” (p. 32). Self-sacrifice is the key. “How many gifted men and women have willingly sacrificed their desires and abilities for others!” (p. 31) Such escapes from the nursery as are allowed are described in romantic nineteenth century fashion. A husband “knows that she has needs which leap the walls of home and go bounding off across the fields. The inner woman is a young girl — a blithe spirit. She cannot live without sunshine, flowers and spring winds” (p. 302).

While he does not clearly state that a woman must have as many children as possible or that the largest family is necessarily the best, he inclines in that direction. He speaks out against all artificial methods of birth control allowing only restraint and rhythm. Although some Church authorities have